


Remarks

In the Office Action dated February 1, 2007, the Examiner rejected claims 1-4, 7-9, 17-19, 22-28, 31-33, 41-43 and 46-48 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious over de Korte, et al. in view of the U.S. Patent No. 6,165,128. The Examiner indicated that claims 5 and 6, 20-21, 29-30 and 44-45 were objected to but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. The Examiner allowed claims 10-16 and 34-40. By this Amendment, Applicants' Attorney has amended each of the independent claims that were previously rejected to incorporate subject matter of the objected to claims together with the subject matter of any intervening claims.

Consequently, in view of the above and in the absence of better art, Applicants' Attorney respectfully submits the application is in condition for allowance which allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

William F. Weitzel, et al.

By  _____
David R. Syrowik
Reg. No. 27,956
Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: April 12, 2007

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor
Southfield, MI 48075-1238
Phone: 248-358-4400
Fax: 248-358-3351